










Evaluation of the Carlo Alberto Summer School on European Governance



*Innovating Governance
and Policy Making
in an Enlarged Europe:
EU and Member State Perspectives*

Collegio Carlo Alberto, Turin
7 – 11 July 2008









**12) What were the main strengths and what the main weaknesses of the school?
(Recurrent answers)**

Strengths:

- Excellent academic level
- Multiplicity of perspectives and good variety of topics
- Good student presentations
- Value feedbacks from commentators and useful and stimulating discussions
- Nice atmosphere and good organisation

Weaknesses:

- Too stringent rhythm of sessions
- Lacking feedbacks from practitioners

Carlo Alberto Summer School on European Governance
*Innovating Governance and Policy Making in an Enlarged Europe:
EU and Member State Perspectives*

Collegio Carlo Alberto, Moncalieri (Torino) 7-11 July 2008

EVALUATION FORM

1) The content of the school closely matched the declared aims

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2) The various sessions of the school were co-ordinated and consistent

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

3) The overall level of the school was right, given a doctoral background

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4) I would recommend the attendance of such a school to my colleagues

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5) Compared to your expectations and its description the school proved

More interesting	Just about as expected	Less interesting	No Opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6) The supporting papers proved

Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Very bad
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

7) The school format (academic lectures + student presentations) proved

Balanced	Unbalanced: Too much time for lectures	Unbalanced: Too much time for student presentations	No Opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

8) The morning session format proved

Balanced	Unbalanced: Too little time for discussion	Unbalanced: Too much time for discussion	No Opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9) The student presentation sessions proved

Balanced	Unbalanced: Too little time for discussion	Unbalanced: Too much time for discussion	No Opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10) Information given before the school was

Exhaustive	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Completely insufficient
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

11) The practical organisation of the school was

Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Very bad
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

12) What were the main strengths and what the main weaknesses of the school?

13) Do you have any suggestions for future editions of the summer school?
